COLLABORATIVE SETTLEMENT PROCESS PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

BETWEEN:

JOHN DOE

of 123 King Street,

in Maple Ridge, British Columbia

AND:

JANE DOE

of 123 Queen Street,

in Coquitlam, British Columbia

(the **O**Parties **D**

AND THEIR LAWYERS:

BOB SMITH

of 123 Main Street, in Vancouver, British Columbia

AND:

BRENDA BROWN of 456 Main Street, in Vancouver, British Columbia

(the Q awyers Q

The Parties have chosen to enter into this agreement to use the principle **Soliabe** rative Settlemen Processo settle the issues arising from the dissolution of their relationship.

1. Purpose

The primary goal of the ollaborative Settlement Process to settle the outstanding issues in a non-adversarial manner. The Parties aton minimize the negative economic, social, and emotional consequences of protracted litigation on themselves and their family. The Parties have retained awyerstrained in collaborative practice assist them in reaching this goal.

2. Communication

The Parties intend to communicate effectively with each other to efficiently and economically settle the dissolution of their relationship. Written and verbal communications will be respectful and constructive and willot contain accusations or claims not based in fact.

It is agreed that communication during settlement meetings will focus on the economic and parenting issues arising from dissolution of the relationship and the constructive resolution of those issues.

The Parites are encouraged to discuss and explore the interests they have in achieving a mutually agreeable settlement, and each is encouraged to speak freely and express his or her needs desires, and options without criticism or judgment by the other. Although arties should be informed by their lawyers about, and may discuss with each other, the litigation alternatives and the outcomes they might attain, neither Party nor their Lawyers will use to feath drawing from the process or igno to court as a neans of achieving a desired outcome or forcing a settlement.

ChildrenÕs Issues

In resolving issues about sharing the enjoyment of and responsibility for any children, the Parties agree to make every effort to reach amicable solutions that promoteilthen's best interests. The Parties agree to act quickly to mediate and resolve differences related to the children to promote a caring, loving, and involved relationship between the children and both parents.

The Parties acknowledge that inappropriate munications regarding the dissolution their relationship can be harmful to their children. They agree that settlement issues will not be discussed in the presence of their children that communication with the children regarding these issues will ccur only if it is appropriate and done by mutual agreement, or on the advice of a child specialist.

The Parties agree not to make any changes to the residence of the children without first obtaining the written agreement of the other Party.

4. Participation with Integrity

Each participant willmaintain a high standard of integrity, and will not take advantage of inconsistencies or miscalculations of the other, but will disclose them and seek to have them corrected.

5. Negotiation in Good Faith

The Parties and their Lawyers agree to deal with each other in good faith and to provide promptly all necessary and reasonable information requested. No formal discovery procedures will be used unless specifically agreed to in advance by the Parties.

The Parties acknowledge that by using informadcesses of discovery, they are giving up certain rights for the duration of the ollaborative Settlement Process including the right to formal discovery procedures formal court hearings, disclosure orders, and other procedures provided by the adversarial legal system. They give up these measures with the specific understanding that both Parties make full and fair disclosure of all assets, income, debts, and other information. The Parties acknowled that participation in the collaborative Settlement

Processand the settlement reached, is based upon the assumption that both Parties have acted in good faith and have provided complete and accurate information to the best of their ability. The Parties gree to provide sworn statements making full and fair disclosure of their income, assets and debts, if requested.

6. Cautions and Limitations

In electing the Collaborative Settlemen Process the Parties understand that there is no guarantee that the process will be successful in resolving their case. They understand that the process cannot eliminate concerns about amytagonism, instrust, or irreconcilable difference that have led to the current conflict. While intent on striving to reach a cooperactive ion, success will ultimately depend on their commitment to making the process work. The Parties understand that they are still expected to assert their respective needs and interests and that the inverespec Lawyers will help each of them do so.

The Parties further understand that while **t**bæwyers share a commitment to the process described in thisagreementeach of them has a professional duty to represent his or her own client, and is not the lawyer for the other party.

7. Experts and Consultarts

When appropriate and as needed, the Parties will use neotharborative experts (ONeutral ExpertO). The Parties will agree in advance of retaining Nibertral Experts to how the costs of the third party expert will be paid, and as to whether or not the expert report will be covered by the confidentiality clause.

8. Separation Coaches, Child Specialist, and Financial Specialist

When appropriate, and as needed, the Parties will use the services of one of inthere following professionals: separation coaches, child specialist, or financial specialista (OCollaborative Professionaló). When a Collaborative Professional is engaged, the parties agree that the Collaborative Professional and the Lawyers may engage attever discussions are necessary for resolution of the case. In the event that the borative Settlemen Processomes to an end, the confidentiality provisions as set out in paragraph 14 of this agreement apply to the Collaborative Professional.

9. No Court Intervention

Unless otherwise agreed, prior to reaching final agreement on all issulfestion of Family Claim or Application to Obtain an Ordevill be filed or served, nor will any other application or document be prepared or filed which would initiate tintervention.

10. Disqualification by Court Intervention

The Parties understand that the inwyers O representation is limited to viding services within the Collaborative Settlemen Process Thus, while each Lawyer is the advisor of his or her client

and serves as the clientÕs representative and negotiator, the Parties mutually acknowledge that both Lawyers, and anyone in each LawyerÕseoffvill be disqualified from representing them in a contestedourt proceeding against the other Party.

11. Withdrawal of Party from Collaborative Law Process

If a Party decides to withdraw from the ollaborative Settlemen Process, prompt written note will be given to the other Party through his or her Lawyer. Upon termination of the borative Settlemen Process a Party or a Lawyr, there will be a thirty day waiting periodunless there is an emergency before any court hearing, to permit the Parties to retain new lawyers and make an orderly transition. All temporary agreements will remain in full force and effect during this period. The intent of this provision is to avoid surprise and prejudice to the rights of the oth Party. It is therefore mutually agreed that either Party may bring this provision to the attention of the court to request an adjournment a hearing.

If a Party wishes to withdraw from the ollaborative Settlement Processwith their current Lawyer and retain a new lawyer to continue the laborative Settlement Process the Party will give prompt written notice to the other Party through his or her Lawyer, of their intention to withdraw and obtain a new lawyer. Thew lawyer shall execute a new ollaborative Settlement Participation Agreement within thirty days of the Party giving notice. If a new agreement is not executed within thirty days, then the other Party will be entitled to proceed as if the Collaborative Settlement Processwere terminated as the date written notice was given.

12. Withdrawal of Lawyer from Collaborative SettlementProcess

If either Lawyer withdraws from the case for any reason except those set out in paragraph 13 herein, they agree to do so promptly by a written notice to the other Party through his or her Lawyer. This may be done without terminating the status of the asse Collaborative SettlementProcesscase. The Party whose lawyer has withdrawn may elect to continue in the Collaborative SettlementProcessand will give prompt written notice of this intention to the other Party through his or her lawyer. The new lawwell execute a newCollaborative Settlementparticipation agreement within thirty days of the Lawyer first giving notice. If a new agreement is not executed withinty days, then the other Party will be entitled to proceed as if the CollaborativeSettementProcesswere terminated as of the date the first written notice was given.

13. Termination of Collaborative Law Process

A Lawyer must withdraw from the ollaborative Settlemen Process in the event they learn that their client has withheld or misrepresented information and continues to withhold and misrepresent such information, or otherwise acted so as to undermine or take unfair advantage of the Collaborative Settlement Process The Lawyer withdrawing will advise the other Lawyer only that he or she is withdrawing, and that the laborative Settlemen Process must end.

14. Confidentiality

All communication exchanged within the ollaborative Settlement Processwill be confidential and without prejudice. If subsequent litigation occurs, the Parties mutually agree that:

- A. neither Party will introduce as evidence court information disclosed during the CollaborativeSettlemenProcessor the purpose of reaching a settlement, except:
 - 1. documents otherwise compellable by law including any sworn statements as to financial status made by the parties, or
 - 2. a report prepared by Naeutral Expertwhich may be used only in the event that the parties jointly agree in writing as set out in paragraph 7;
- B. neither Party will introduce as evidence objurt information disclosed during the Collaborative Settlement Processwith respect to either Parties Obehaviour or position with respect to steement;
- C. neither Party will ask or subpoena either lawyer or any of the Collaborative Professionals or Neutral Expert to court to testify in anycourt proceedings, nor bring on an application to discover either Lawyer or any of the Collaborative fessionals or Neutral Expert with regard to matters disclosed during to discoverses.
- D. neither Party will require the production at amount proceedings of any notesecords, or documents ineither LawyersÕpossession or inhe possession of Collaborative Professionabra Neutral Expert
- E. the verbal agreements, concessions or statements of any kind whatsoever which may be made during the Collaborativettlement Process are confidential and without prejudice; and,
- F. the Parties agree that these guidelines with respect to confidentiality apply to any subsequent litigation, arbitration, or other process for dispute resolution.

The confidentiality provisions of this agreement doot apply in the event that a Party or Collaborative Professional isbliged by law to report to the interpretation of Child Protection information arising out of the Collaborative Processhat gives the party or Collaborative Professional reasonable grounds to believe that a child may be in needed in the confidence of the c

15. Rights and Obligations Pending Settlement

Although the Parties have agreed to work outsideablet system, the Parties agree that:

- A. neither Party will dispose of any assets except by an agreement in writing;
- B. neitherParty may harass the other Party; and

- C. all existing insurance coverage must be maintained and continued without change in coverage or beneficiary designation.
- 16. Enforceability of Agreements

In the event that the Parties require a temporary agreedbeing the Collaborative Settlement Process the agreement will be put in writing and signed by the Parties and their Lawyers. If either Party withdraws from the ollaborative Settlement Process the written agreement is enforceable and may be presented the Courtas a basis for an order, which the urtmay make retroactive to the date of the written agreement.

Similarly, once a final agreement is signed, if a Party should refuse to honour it, the final agreement may be presented to the urt in any subsequent action. Further, only written agreements signed by the Parties and witnessed will be enforceable in a Court of competent jurisdiction. Verbal agreements, concessions or statements of any kind which may be made during the Collaborative Settlementh Process are unenforceable, confidential and without prejudiceas outlined in Paragraph 14.

17. Acknowledgment

Both Parties and their Lawyers acknowledge that they have read this agreement, understand its terms and conditions, and agree to abide by theme Parties have chosen to ellaborative Settlement Processo reduce emotional and financial costs, and to generate a final agreement that addresses their concerns. They agree to work in good faith to achieve these goals.

Dated:23 March2012	Dated:24 March 2013
John Doe	Jane Doe
JOHN DOE	JANE DOE
Bob Smith	Brenda Brown
BOB SMITH	BRENDA BROWN
Lawyer for John Doe	Lawyer forJane Doe